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Abstract
The fact that most of the GNAT ports are based on non real-time operating systems leads to a reduced usability for developing real-time systems. Otherwise, existing ports over real-time operating systems are excessively complex, since GNAT uses only a reduced set of their functionality, and with a very specific semantic. This paper describes the implementation of a low-level tasking support for the GNAT run-time. In order to achieve a predictable real-time behaviour we have developed a very simple library, built to fit only the GNAT tasking requirements. We have also designed a bare machine kernel which provides the minimum environment needed by the upper layers.
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1. Introduction
The development of GNAT was a decisive step towards the widespread availability of an efficient, high quality compiling environment to Ada programmers. The fact that GNAT is free software is of great interest for researchers, since it allows new developments from existing source code.

Although GNAT provides an effective, high quality compiling environment for Ada 95, its usability for real-time systems development is limited, as most of the GNAT ports are based on non real-time operating systems. Although all GNAT ports implement most of the Annex C and D functionality, many important features, such as true pre-emptive priority scheduling, monotonic time, ceiling locking, and kernel metrics, are not provided as specified in the LRM. As a result, most GNAT implementations cannot be used to program real-time systems with a predictable behaviour.

Looking at GNAT ports over real-time operating systems, we can cite RTEMS[8], a free real-time executive with a POSIX interface and support for multiprocessor systems. But it has been designed for a generic use, and there is a big overhead and an excessive complexity when using it as low-level support for the GNAT tasking system.

The most common way of implementing GNARL¹ is on top of native threads (usually POSIX threads or Pthreads for short) for the given architecture. But GNAT tasking implementation is very complete and specific, and when implementing GNARL on top of Pthreads there is a high overhead motivated by the similar level of abstraction of Ada tasks and Pthreads[4]. Aside from the loss of performance, it increases the complexity, leading to a difficult measuring and bounding of the kernel metrics. Indeed, in the case of many embedded systems a full-blown implementation of Pthreads is usually considered to be too expensive, and then the existence of a reduced and simple thread support could be of great help.

Therefore, our purpose is to develop a very simple and efficient real-time support for the GNAT tasking system, adapted to its requirements. By not requiring support for the more complex thread features, this approach permits an implementation with very tight efficiency and timing predictability requirements. The library that implements the low level tasking (we call our library JTK from Jose’s Tasking Kernel) provides GNARL semantics and is written in Ada². The kernel that interacts with the underlying hardware is written in C, with a small amount of assembly code.

Our intention is to provide a freely available test-bed for experimentation in language, compiler, and run-time support for developers of real-time embedded systems.

1. GNU Ada Runtime Library.
2. About 1000 lines of code, not including test programs.
This is a first implementation to explore the validity of this approach.

This paper is targeted on the real-time community. There is a previous paper[9] that describes more deeply the main design goals and implementation choices of JTK from a wider point of view.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is a brief overview of the JTK architecture and its integration with GNARL. Section 3 explains the main implementation details of JTK and how they are implemented. Section 4 shows some performance results to endorse the feasibility of our approach. Section 5 concludes with a summary of assessments, comments and possible future work of this implementation.

2. The architecture of JTK

One of the goals of the GNARL development was to provide an easily portable implementation, by means of a layered design. Each layer provides all of the tasking-related services required by the next higher layer through a procedural interface. The architecture independent components are clearly separated from the machine dependent parts by means of a well defined interface, called GNU LI1[1].

Therefore, the replacement of the lower-level tasking implementation can be carried out in a very straightforward manner. There are two ways of implementing a new runtime system using this interface:

- A GNU LI interface can be built for an already existing thread library. This is the most common approach to building new non-real-time GNAT ports. This is also the way the RTEMS and VxWorks ports have been built.

This approach has clear advantages: most real-time operating systems have a POSIX.1c compliant interface, and it is currently well known how to build a GNU LI on top of Pthreads. However, it is neither efficient nor clear for two main reasons: First, the Pthread interface is often built as a library which masks the native thread interface for the operating system. And second, the GNAT run-time library already provides a lot of support for tasks, and implementing the high level part of GNARL on top of Pthreads induces abstraction inversion which causes inefficiency in the implementation.

- The other way to build a real-time GNARL is to implement a minimum kernel for task support with a GNU LI interface, which does not make use of any thread mechanism from the underlying operating system. We believe that this approach, although harder to implement, leads to more efficient and predictable run-time support. Since GNARL provides most of the functionality needed for tasking, only the missing, lower level functions, have to be implemented, which results in a comparatively small executive.

At the lowest level, we have developed a very simple kernel that offers the functionality that a minimal real-time operating system should provide. It has also been designed to fit Ada tasks semantics as tightly as possible. In order to provide an easily predictable environment, this kernel has been designed with this objective in mind, from hardware up. Therefore it operates in a single virtual address space (no paging), it does not have a file system, and the only devices supported are a timer and a serial port. These simplifications eliminate unpredictable time delays due to page faults, waiting for completion of I/O, and I/O completion interrupt processing[2].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ada 95 Application Program</th>
<th>Timer Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GNU Ada Run-Time Layer</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GNU Lower-Level Layer</td>
<td>JTK Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Kernel</td>
<td>Bare ix86 Machine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Structure of the developed GNAT tasking system.

JTK offers a priority driven scheduler with pre-emption. It has a FIFO queue for each priority level in order to provide for scalability. Tasks run until they are blocked or until another task with a higher priority becomes ready to execute.

It also provides two basic synchronization methods: mutual exclusion and conditional synchronization. For mutual exclusion two kinds of mutex objects are provided: one operating as a simple binary semaphore, and the other one using the Immediate Priority Ceiling Protocol, which offers the possibility for read and write locking. For conditional synchronization, JTK implements condition variables, which are used by the timer and protected objects.

The lower level task objects, as well as the scheduler, have been defined as tagged objects, so there is an easy and clean way of extending the implementation with new scheduling policies. There are different scheduling
schemes in the literature, none of them fitting all the different kinds of applications. Therefore we have tried to establish a flexible framework to allow the user to implement the scheduling policy that is really needed.

3. Implementation

When thinking about developing a real-time application, one of the most difficult aspects is bounding the worst-case execution time (WCET). To obtain analytically a tight measurement of this value, two issues are very important: to have access to the source code (application, run-time libraries and operating system) and to work with an implementation as simple as possible. Since we are working with GNAT the source code is accessible (our libraries and kernel are also free software), and simplicity is the most important issue that we have had in mind in our design.

The low-level task management exerts a high influence on the overall behaviour of the whole system. Tasks change their execution states frequently and it is important to perform these actions in a predictable and efficient manner. In our implementation the ready tasks are kept in a queue sorted by priorities. When a task enters or exits the ready state, the system must insert or remove the task from the ready queue. All ready queue operations are executed in fast, bounded time, without need for search loops. In our library the kernel and tasks run in the same address space. It allows task switching to be very fast, and eliminates the need for system call traps.

Signal management is an important issue for improving Pthreads behaviour. GNARL has a very specific way of managing signals[7], similar in many senses to the way used by Pthreads. What happens is that GNARL does almost all the job on its own, and uses the low level support in a minimal mode. Since Pthread does not offer the possibility of a reduced use there is a lot of redundant and complex processing that obscures the measurement of the kernel metrics. Our approach is to notify the GNARL layer of the occurrence of the signal and let this layer process the signal. All the low-level management has been reduced to a minimum. There is a table with a one-to-one correspondence between the waiting tasks and their related signals, leading to a very simple and efficient signal delivery model.

As for the timer support, we have modified the GNARL layer so that only one timer request can be issued at a time (using a timer server task). Therefore the underlying library does not have to deal with more than one simultaneous request. This simplification leads to reducing the overhead involved in managing different queues, and to an easier way of understanding what these layers do. This also makes it easier to bound execution times. Moreover, the way used to program the timer is very similar to the way it is done in RT-Linux[10], achieving microsecond resolution timers.

The implementation of Ada ATC (Asynchronous Transfer of Control) in GNARL is based on per-thread signals (when it is layered over Pthreads). If we are using JTK for low-level support the design can be simplified. As we have access to the saved state of the target task, and consequently its program counter, we can change this state to redirect control. The target task will begin the transfer of control as soon as it is next scheduled to execute.

4. Performance

To evaluate the performance of this implementation we have tested two kinds of programs as a first attempt:

1. Two tasks which only perform context switches. The times reported are averages taken over 100 000 iterations of each task.

2. Two tasks executing 100 loops inside which they perform a select statement with a delay alternative. The measurements indicate the amount of processor time that a third task could use normalized to the maximum value obtained. In this way, changing the value of the delay alternative produces results which are of the same amount.

The tests have been executed on the same machine (Pentium II at 233 MHz). The measurements have been taken over three different GNAT implementations:

1. GNAT 3.10p over JTK.

2. GNAT 3.10p over Linux, using the FSU implementation of Pthreads.

3. GNAT 3.10p over DOS, using the FSU implementation of Pthreads.

Context switch time is a very important feature in real-time systems, with a high influence on timing behaviour. The notion of cheap concurrency has been around in Pthreads and related works, which try to offer lightweight processes. Figure 2 shows the results of the first test, from which we can see that there is an increase of 170% of efficiency in context switch time with respect to the Linux implementation, and of 300% compared to the DOS implementation.
Figure 2. Time taken by a task context switch

Figure 3: Free CPU when using select statements with a delay alternative
One aspect where we have tried to enhance is time management. The second test is focused on showing a measurement of this improvement. This test has not been applied to the GNAT port that uses Pthreads over DOS because it does not run well with priorities. In fact, the DOS implementation has not a true pre-emptive priority scheduling. Figure 3 displays the results of this test, which show that there is an improvement of about 20% in the amount of CPU time which is needed for the execution of delay statements.

Efficiency is certainly an issue, but in real-time applications predictability is the main problem. Figure 3 has not enough resolution to show this aspect, but from the experiments that we have carried out we have measured a difference between the best and the worst trial which is about 350 times bigger on the Linux implementation than on JTK.

5. Conclusion

We have shown that it is possible to significantly improve the predictability and efficiency of tasking in GNAT by designing a simple multitasking kernel which is directly tailored to support the Ada 95 functionality. By eliminating dependence on Pthreads, we have achieved a bare machine implementation with a predictable execution timing. We also have eliminated the very unpredictable delays due to operating system processes. In summary, we believe that true real-time programming in Ada 95 is a real possibility with our approach.

Of course, since the POSIX interface and semantics are not used, there is a loss in portability and generality. We believe that is the price that has to be paid for improved determinism and efficiency, which is the primary goal of real-time systems.

The JTK library is available from:

ftp://ftp.dit.upm.es/~jfruiz/jtk/

and is covered by a modified GPL license. More information about the JTK library can be consulted at:

http://www.dit.upm.es/~jfruiz/jtk.html
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